IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Vanessa Beaully Wallace,)	
DI 1 100)	C.A. No. 6:10-cv-01138-JMC
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	ORDER
)	
Brighton Gardens Greenville,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).[Doc. # 50]. More specifically, Defendant claims that Plaintiff has failed to prosecute this claim. The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 60], filed on February 24, 2011, recommends that the court grant the Defendant's motion to dismiss. The Report and Recommendation sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards in this matter, and the court incorporates the Magistrate Judge's Report herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff was advised of her right to file objections to the Report and Recommendation [Doc.

Page 2 of 2 6:10-cv-01138-JMC Date Filed 04/07/11 Entry Number 63

60-1]. However, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report and Recommendation.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, this

court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a

district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no

clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial

Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory

committee's note). Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report and

Recommendation results in a party's waiver of the right to appeal from the judgment of the District

Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140

(1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th

Cir. 1984).

After a thorough review of the Report and Recommendation and the record in this case, the

court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. # 60] and incorporates it

herein. It is therefore **ORDERED** that Defendant's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ J. Michelle Childs United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina April 7, 2011

2